

**CITY OF BRIDGEPORT
CITY COUNCIL
PUBLIC SPEAKING SESSION
TUESDAY, MARCH 18, 2014
6:30 PM**

ATTENDANCE: Council members: Brannelly, Torres, Banta, Taylor-Moye, Halstead, Swain, McCarthy, Austin, Lyons, Vizzo-Paniccia, McBride-Lee, Castillo, Martinez, Feliciano, Marella, Paoletto, Martinez-Walker, Holloway

ABSENT: Council members: Salter, DeJesus

Council President McCarthy called the public speaking session to order at 6:45 pm.

The city clerk took the roll call and announced there was a quorum.

Please go to [www. SoundviewTV.org/Bridgeport](http://www.SoundviewTV.org/Bridgeport) to view or listen to the detailed comments that the speakers below addressed to the City Council on March 18, 2014.

THE FOLLOWING NAMED PERSON HAS REQUESTED PERMISSION TO ADDRESS THE CITY COUNCIL ON MONDAY, MARCH 3, 2014 AT 6:30 P.M., IN THE CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS, CITY HALL, 45 LYON TERRACE, BRIDGEPORT, CT.

NAME	SUBJECT
Ethan Book 144 Coleman Street Bridgeport, CT 06604	The matter of the late Adelaida Coriano

Council President McCarthy announced that Ethan Book wasn't present.

Cecil C. Young 99 Carroll Avenue Bridgeport, CT 06607	Commending Councilman Rick Torres for looking into unjust termination case
---	--

Mr. Young recalled the matter of his unjust termination. He displayed signs that read "No One is Above the Law". He stated that he was troubled about the issue regarding his leave of absence as he referred to his doctor's notes – *copy distributed*. He said he was told by the labor board that his medical notes weren't sufficient even though he had proof of the medical reason. He relayed that he was terminated after working for the city for twenty-

seven years, noting that he didn't return to work on March 17, 2006. However, he stated that he was at work on March 16 but he only worked part time hours. He mentioned that he hasn't heard anything from labor relations regarding his FOI request pertaining to his complaint. He repeated that he was at work on March 16 and he mentioned his marriage that was performed before Paul Ganim on March 16 – *per a picture distributed*. He emphasized that his rights were violated and that he will continue to speak out about rectifying the wrong that was done to him. He felt that the judge that presided over the case was wrong and he asked that the information requested be given to Council member Torres for follow up.

John Marshall Lee
30 Beacon Street
Bridgeport, CT 06605

City budgets and fiscal review

- *Statement to be submitted to the city clerk's office or go to **www.SoundviewTV.org/Bridgeport** to listen to the comments.*

The following speakers signed up to address the city council prior to the public speaking session:

Paul Timpanelli, President/CEO of Bridgeport Regional Business Council spoke about the mission of BRBC, which is to bring economic development to Bridgeport. He stated that he was present to speak in favor of the resolution concerning Ground Lease with United Illuminating Company to facilitate the construction of a Solar Electricity-Generating Facility on the Landfill near Seaside Park and the construction of a Fuel Cell Facility on Adjacent Land in the south end of the city. He mentioned that as elected officials, many problems come forward that lay heavily on the city council who have to weigh all the issues for the best of the city. He said he believed that the project is in the best interest of the city and that many positive things could result from the project going forward; noting that the positives far outweigh any negatives. He further relayed a short list of projects that the BRBC has supported in the city, noting that it's important to make significant progress in economic development in Bridgeport. He urged approval of the project.

Onte Johnson

Mr. Johnson stated that today was an historic day being present in support of the solar project in Bridgeport. He recalled attending previous meetings and discussions about the project. He recognized the supporters that were present, noting that this is what happens when there are projects going on in Bridgeport that brings people from all over Connecticut that care about the city. He mentioned that the city council has an opportunity to do something historical with Bridgeport being the home to the first solar array project that he expressed was amazing. He said he hoped that the city council felt it's the right thing to do and put Bridgeport on the map.

James Banta

Mr. Banta spoke about gratitude in taking care of the city in relation to the solar array project. He commented that there is no danger of the project creating a fire and that the proposed panels that will be constructed can be swapped out if needed. He referred to new technology advances in the state of Massachusetts that has produced ten times plus renewable energy. He referred to what he called the current monstrosity that is currently on the proposed site, noting that the new project will help enhance the site. He relayed that currently, the landfill isn't useable and it was previously used as a wasteland and dump. He further mentioned that the fact that the project has come forward is a good thing to product renewable energy and he questioned why there is so much opposition to the project. He stated that himself and others he knows love the park and that people in Bridgeport want the project and want change. He expressed that he thought P.T. Barnum would be in favor of the project to better Bridgeport.

Adrian Well

Ms. Well spoke about the solar panel array project. She stated that the community has sat down to discuss some of the projects they would like to see in Bridgeport and that the project they were talking about today was part of that as a development initiative for the city during 2006. She further spoke about moving ahead as a community, noting that the green economy is one that can and should be developed. She said she felt it would create jobs in the city and at the same time, it will be positive for the environment. She relayed that it will be a great opportunity to bring the vision to Bridgeport. She mentioned the organization that does mattress recycling to say that she was looking forward to other green companies coming into the area. She expressed that the project will provide jobs, dollars, clean energy and partnership for Bridgeport. She commended Mayor Finch for bringing the project to Bridgeport. She urged approval of the project.

The public speaking session ended at 7:15 pm.

CITY OF BRIDGEPORT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING

TUESDAY, MARCH 18, 2014

7:00 PM

City Council Chambers, City Hall - 45 Lyon Terrace

Bridgeport, Connecticut

ATTENDANCE: Council members: Brannelly, Torres, Banta, Taylor-Moye, Halstead, Swain, McCarthy, Lyons, Vizzo-Paniccia, McBride-Lee, Salter, DeJesus, Castillo, Martinez, Feliciano, Marella, Paoletto, Martinez-Walker, Holloway

Mayor Finch called the meeting to order at 7:20 pm.

Prayer - Council member Austin offered the prayer.

Pledge of Allegiance - Council member Feliciano led the pledge of allegiance.

Roll Call - the City Clerk took the roll call and announced there was a quorum.

MINUTES FOR APPROVAL:

Approval of City Council Minutes: February 18, 2014

**** COUNCIL MEMBER PAOLEETTO MOVED TO ACCEPT THE MINUTES
** COUNCIL PRESIDENT McCARTHY SECONDED
** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY**

COMMUNICATIONS TO BE REFERRED TO COMMITTEES:

- 51-13** Communication from Fire Department re: Proposed Request to Donate a Surplus Fire Truck to the Dominican Republic, referred to Public Safety & Transportation Committee.
- 52-13** Communication from Tax Collector re: Refund of Excess Payments, referred to Miscellaneous Matters Committee.
- 53-13** Communication from Central Grants re: Grant Submission: State of Connecticut Department of Social Services for Social Services Block Grant Program (SSBG), referred to Economic and Community Development and Environment Committee.
- 54-13** Communication from Central Grants re: Grant Submission: State of Connecticut Department of Economic & Community Development for a Municipal Brownfield Assessment and Inventory Grant Program, referred to Economic and Community Development and Environment Committee.
- 55-13** Communication from OPED re: Proposed Resolution concerning the Disposition of City-Owned Property Located at 143 Fifth Street and Request to Order a Public Hearing Relative to the Same, referred to Economic and Community Development and Environment Committee.
- 56-13** Communication from OPED re: Proposed Resolution concerning Disposition of City-Owned Properties to Habitat for Humanity and Request to Order a Public Hearing Relative to the Same, referred to Economic and Community Development and Environment Committee.
- 57-13** Communication from Fire Department re: Proposed Request to Donate a Fire Boat to the Town of Fairfield, referred to Public Safety & Transportation Committee.

City Council Meeting

March 18, 2014

COMMUNICATIONS TO BE REFERRED TO COMMITTEES CONTINUED:

58-13 Communication from Airport re: Proposed Hangar Lease Agreement with the Connecticut Aerospace Hall of Fame and Museum, Inc. for the Location of the Connecticut Air & Space Center at Igor Sikorsky Memorial Airport, referred to Contracts Committee.

59-13 Communication from Housing and Community Development re: Program Year 40 Annual Action Plan: Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG), Homeless Emergency Solutions Grant Program (HESG), HOME Investment Partnership Program, Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS Program (HOPWA), referred to Economic and Community Development and Environment Committee.

60-13 Communication from Central Grants re: Grant Submission: National Association of County and City Health Officials (NACCHO) for the FY2013-2014 Medical Reserve Corps Capacity Building Award (CBA), referred to Economic and Community Development and Environment Committee.

ADDED:

COMMUNICATIONS TO BE REFERRED TO COMMITTEES:

61-13 Communication from City Attorney re: Proposed Amendment to the Municipal Code of Ordinances, Chapter 6.04 Animal Control Regulations Generally amend Section 6.04.010 Keeping of Certain Animals Prohibited, referred to Ordinance Committee.

**** COUNCIL MEMBER MARELLA MOVED TO REFER COMMUNICATIONS TO BE REFERRED TO COMMITTEES *and the* ADDED ADDENDUM ITEM**

**** COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ SECONDED**

**** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY**

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

13-13 Contracts Committee Report re: Resolution concerning Ground Lease with United Illuminating Company to facilitate the construction of a Solar Electricity-Generating Facility on the Landfill near Seaside Park and the construction of a Fuel Cell Facility on Adjacent Land.

**** COUNCIL MEMBER PAOLETTO MOVED TO APPROVE**

**** COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ SECONDED**

Council member Torres referred to and read the comments he submitted as follows:

In a time where the whole country, including this mayor, seems is moving in the direction of ecological and environmental sensitivity, Bridgeport proposes a project that flies in the face of that movement. There are many issues that are relevant to this council as we proceed forward to today's vote:

- 1) We were told this is not park land. Then we were told it is park land. Then the Parks Commission votes unanimously to decline. Then the mayor meets with the Commission and then they vote unanimously to approve with no substantial change in the plan.
- 2) We were told that the city's parks master plan included this development.
 - a. The two versions of the Sasaki plan that were available to the public had no mention of the idea.
 - b. At the first Parks Commission meeting a third version appeared that included an oval with a line saying solar array. This was on page 147 of a 186 document that until then no one in the public had seen.
 - c. I called Sasaki and asked them to explain their thoughts behind a solar energy project on park land. They stated that they were forced to include the idea in progress by Ted Grabarz. They thought the land should be kept as open space or reclaimed as full-fledged park land.

- d. Some more research showed that the city had a master plan for Seaside from renowned landscape architects Richter and Cegan which shows the land in question recaptured as park land.
 - e. The coerced master plan still has no mentioned of a Fuel Cell component, which is half of this project. Due to the heavy-duty construction of this facility it is located on perfectly clean park land, away from the landfill.
- 3) We have been told that the land is so polluted that it is incapable of any human use.
- a. Then the city's own chemist consultant testifies that the landfill is an inert state. When challenged that the city will be unable to respond to pollution which might be potentially dangerous in the future, he testified that the effluent is basically clear of harmful materials and that the cap is intact.
 - b. When presented with what Norwalk, CT did with its Oyster Shell Landfill now a Park, he stated that it would take \$650 million to clean our landfill. Norwalk did not clean its landfill. They just recapped it and added topsoil for under five million.
 - c. The Olmsted Foundation provided testimony to the parks commission as to the 4,500 acres of reclaimed landfill to park projects that have been done nationwide. Their elegant letter provided proof of reclamation and pleads for Bridgeport to reconsider this plan.
- 4) The Olmsted Foundation letter also recognizes the DEEP's sighting of some 185 acres of better suited brownfield in Bridgeport.
- 5) The city has stated it does not have the resources to even consider the possibility of recovering the landfill.
- a. The EPA's Long Island Sound Study routinely has millions set aside each year to recapture land on or near Long Island Sound. Seaside Park cannot be any more appropriate a parcel for such money. Mark Tedesco the EPA administrator, whose office has an advisory role to play in this project, only found out about the project when I communicated with him asking him why they approved the project.
 - b. In April the Fish and Wildlife Administration will again award millions of dollars to municipalities in the Long Island Sound area. This process has not changed in a decade.
 - c. The city has spent countless millions cleaning a private parcel of land belonging to ACME Shear Company formerly of Bridgeport and now of Fairfield. So far I have accounted for \$3.4 million the number could be as high as \$5 million or more. The project is the Knowlton Street Park.

- d. In the items sent to committee by this Council is a grant request to the state to expand the above mentioned essentially secret project for an additional \$200,000 to assess and begin to remediate this Knowlton Street Park.
- e. Therefore, there is money to create a park on perfectly polluted land on the Pequonnock River, belonging to a private company.
- f. Knowlton Park, although it has some merit, overlooks abandoned factories, a huge industrial rental company's property and polluted land. The long abandoned landfill is among the most scenic parcels in Connecticut allowing potential visitors to see all of Bridgeport and across to all parts in Long Island.

Motion to amend the lease:

1st Motion recommended:

Amend Section 9(a) Public Safety. The Tenant is responsible for all safety needs it may need (including compliance with the terms of PCU Permit).

2nd Motion recommended:

Amend Section 9(b) Tenant Security Measures. Tenant is responsible for all Security Measures. The Tenant must seek approval from the Landlord of any Security Measures it wishes to install. Including, but not limited to, six foot height restriction on all fencing, no barbed wired, and no lighting of the facility at night.

3rd Motion recommended:

Amend Section 10(b) Replace entirely with: Tenant assumes all liabilities environmental and otherwise for all of Tenant's activities on Seaside Park associated with this project.

4th Motion recommended:

Amend 10(c) reflect language of 10(b).

5th Motion recommended:

Amend Exhibit D Section 11) Strike last paragraph entirely.

**** COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES MOVED TO APPROVE THE 1st Motion recommended: Amend Section 9(a) Public Safety. The Tenant is responsible for all safety needs it may need (including compliance with the terms of PCU Permit).**

**** COUNCIL MEMBER SWAIN SECONDED**

Mayor Finch stated that he reviewed the amendments and he thought there was some merit to them. However, he suggested that the city council vote down the amendments for the purpose of instructing the city attorney to devise the proper legal language and negotiate the terms of the amendments.

Council President McCarthy clarified that Mayor Finch thought that the five (5) amendments were reasonable. He suggested that they pass the amendments with the recommendation that they be submitted to the city attorney to negotiate the terms and language. He stated that Mayor Finch should instruct the city council to amend the contract with all the concerns in mind; i.e., the amendments need to be negotiated with United Illuminating and PURA and should be subject to the appropriate language and United Illuminating and PURA requirements. And the city attorney should amend the contract with the amendments in mind. He further suggested that the debate should continue tonight regarding the item.

Council member Brannelly stated that it was important to return the item to committee, due to the recommended amendments. She noted that there wasn't sufficient time to review the amendments yet.

Mayor Finch stated that most of the amendments that were recommended were already addressed in the contract, although they may need some tweaking.

Council President McCarthy stated that the matter was a time sensitive issue and he asked Attorney Pacacha to address it.

Attorney Pacacha stated that he understood that United Illuminating had a time sensitive issue due to the final documents and budgets for PURA approval; noting that there is a time frame that is running out.

Council Holloway recalled that he was elected to the city council in 1993 and in January 1994; he was chosen to serve on the Energy Environmental Natural Resource Policy Committee that meets four times per year to discuss energy and environmental resources. He stated that one thing that was studied was alternative energy. He mentioned that they found that when solar panels were installed, one thing that happened with the wind mills is that bald eagles were killed due to the wind mill blades; however, this has never been the case with solar panels. He further noted that when you visit Baltimore, you will see solar panels everywhere, so he thought it was the way to go. He mentioned the issue of the solar panels being visible, noting that no one living on Brewster Street will be able to see them, because they will be situated to the east. However, he stated that he did have a concern that the residents will be getting the shaft, because they will be giving up something and receiving nothing – *he mentioned the Bridgeport Hospital project as an example*. Overall, he felt the matter was all about money and he repeated that the residents will be giving up a lot to receive nothing. And although it's a great idea, he had a concern about the cost. He reiterated that that "we" as a city will not benefit financially.

Council member Halstead stated that he felt they could save the landmark. He stated that as far as the consensus that the project is supported isn't true according to his

constituents. He reiterated that he felt they were losing park land and that the view when looking down, would be spoiled as a nature sanctuary. He recalled that the site used to be a dump, but right now it's a wildlife sanctuary. He felt that most of the people of a 50/50 ratio don't want the project and it has divided the community. He relayed that they should go with the vision that P.T. Barnum had for the city.

Council member Swain reiterated Council member Brannelly's comment that it wouldn't be good to try to shove the item through for approval. She emphasized that it was worth their attention to return to the item to committee.

Mayor Finch asked Attorney Pacacha to address the proposed amendments.

Attorney Pacacha mentioned that he and the United Illuminating representative reviewed the five (5) amendments and responded to them upon discussion and with an explanation. He addressed the (5) amendments as follows:

1st Motion recommended:

Amend Section 9(a) Public Safety. The Tenant is responsible for all safety needs it may need (including compliance with the terms of PCU Permit).

- United Illuminating will share responsibility with the city for public safety. UI is willing to share responsibility for security and protection so no one gets harmed.

2nd Motion recommended:

Amend Section 9(b) Tenant Security Measures. Tenant is responsible for all Security Measures. The Tenant must seek approval from the Landlord of any Security Measures it wishes to install. Including, but not limited to, six foot height restriction on all fencing, no barbed wired, and no lighting of the facility at night.

- United Illuminating is willing to consider this amendment subject to Siting Council requirements, because they have jurisdiction over the siting and UI want to ensure that they uphold that policy.

3rd Motion recommended:

Amend Section 10(b) Replace entirely with: Tenant assumes all liabilities environmental and otherwise for all of Tenant's activities on Seaside Park associated with this project.

- It was stated that it will be difficult to change the contract with two lines with non-lawyer language. However, UI will be responsible in conjunction with the city. and if UI disturbs the cap and releases harmful materials, then they will be responsible. They plan to work together on environmental remediation.

4th Motion recommended:

Amend 10(c) reflect language of 10(b).

- This amendment will require adjustments and United Illuminating is willing to make them.

5th Motion recommended:

Amend Exhibit D Section 11) Strike last paragraph entirely.

Striking the last paragraph as it was read pertains to Landlord responsibility. United Illuminating is willing to give the city the right to approve any change, even though PURA and DEP will have the right to approve or deny it.

Mayor Finch commented that the utility regulations were highly regulated, noting that the process can get frustrating.

Council member Taylor-Moye stated that she listened to all the council members' comments. She relayed that the project will be a great opportunity in line with the B- Green initiative for renewable energy. She said that comments have been heard from both sides and for different reasons, such as the issue of the panels being visible. She further stated that as far as the opportunity for change, she is in favor of going forward to make things happen in the future. She stated that she has spoken to her constituents who are for and against the project and the view points are just about equal. Some people feel the project will take away from the park, however; this piece of land is not used for kids to play. Overall, she said she was in full support and will vote yes.

Council member Torres stated that some relevant issues were mentioned by Council member Holloway and Council member Taylor-Moye in terms of the lease and there not being enough of a financial gain for Bridgeport. He further stated that the key is they have a choice or they can do nothing at all. He explained that life exists within the top six inches of soil, so he felt the land could be restored to be in balance. He further felt that \$350k may seem like peanuts, noting that he agreed with Council member Holloway that Bridgeport may seem like an easy target to go after.

Council member Brannelly asked for clarification about how they were going to proceed with the motion that was made and the second to the motion that was on the table for approval of the item and the amendments.

Council member Banta stated that after the debate, he visited the site and he found that the area had many beautiful homes that were kept up well. He commented that the matter has become "A Tale of Two Cities" where rich, poor and every different ethnicity exist in the area. However, along with the abandoned houses and buildings; he thought the area would eventually go back to being a landfill. He further commented that the south end doesn't even have a basketball court or a tennis court, noting that he goes to the north end to play. He said it's a matter of quality of life for people that can use it. He expressed to the Mayor and the Parks Department that they should ensure that whatever was delivered that something would be given back to the community. He said that he urged development

with an interest in downtown Bridgeport and they shouldn't overlook the south end of Bridgeport.

Council President McCarthy reminded the council that a motion was on the floor to vote on the amendments. Mayor Finch clarified that a **yes** vote would be to approve the amendment and a **no** vote would be to deny the amendment.

A roll call vote was taken.

**** MOTION FAILED TO PASS WITH SEVEN VOTES IN FAVOR AND THIRTEEN VOTES IN OPPOSITION (COUNCIL MEMBERS: BRANNELLY, BANTA, TAYLOR-MOYE, McCARTHY, AUSTIN, McBRIDE-LEE, DeJESUS, CASTILLO, MARELLA, PAOLETTO, MARTINEZ-WALKER, HOLLOWAY, FELICIANO**

**** COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES MOVED TO APPROVE THE 2nd Motion recommended:Amend Section 9(b) Tenant Security Measures. Tenant is responsible for all Security Measures. The Tenant must seek approval from the Landlord of any Security Measures it wishes to install. Including, but not limited to, six foot height restriction on all fencing, no barbed wired, and no lighting of the facility at night.**

**** COUNCIL MEMBER HALSTEAD SECONDED**

Council member Holloway stated that there was a policy in the City of Bridgeport that barbed wire can't be used anymore.

Council member Torres commented that when they keep adding features to a project it doesn't make sense and they should make them pay.

Council President McCarthy said he agreed with this amendment and that he will recommend to the city attorney to negotiate the terms with United Illuminating.

Council member Brannelly stated that she was looking forward to voting on the contract that will be passed through the Contracts Committee.

**** MOTION FAILED TO PASS BY A RECOGNIZED NAY VOTE IN OPPOSITION**

****The council members that voted in opposition weren't identified – no roll call vote.***

**** COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES MOVED TO APPROVE 3rd Motion recommended:Amend Section 10(b) Replace entirely with: Tenant assumes**

all liabilities environmental and otherwise for all of Tenant's activities on Seaside Park associated with this project.

**** COUNCIL MEMBER HALSTEAD SECONDED**

**** MOTION FAILED TO PASS BY A RECOGNIZED NAY VOTE IN OPPOSITION**

**The council members that voted in opposition weren't identified – no roll call vote.*

**** COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES MOVED TO APPROVE 4th Motion recommended:Amend 10(c) reflect language of 10(b).**

**** COUNCIL MEMBER HALSTEAD SECONDED**

**** MOTION FAILED TO PASS BY A RECOGNIZED NAY VOTE IN OPPOSITION**

**The council members that voted in opposition weren't identified – no roll call vote.*

**** COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES MOVED TO APPROVE 5th Motion recommended:Amend Exhibit D Section 11) Strike last paragraph entirely.**

**** COUNCIL MEMBER HALSTEAD SECONDED**

Council President McCarthy asked Attorney Pacacha to clarify the review of this amendment. Attorney Pacacha stated that United Illuminating was already in agreement with the amendment to change the paragraph. Council member McCarthy stated that he would include this amendment in the summary to the city attorney to recommend that the terms be negotiated.

**** MOTION FAILED TO PASS BY A RECOGNIZED NAY VOTE IN OPPOSITION**

**The council members that voted in opposition weren't identified – no roll call vote.*

Council member Swain urged everyone to review the contents of the lease to assure what they are getting.

**** COUNCIL PRESIDENT McCARTHY MOVED THAT AMENDMENTS #1, #2 and #5 BE NEGOTIATED WITH THE PARTIES INVOLVED (UNITED ILLUMINATING COMPANY and PURA) AS PART OF THE FINAL CONTRACT AS EXECUTED BY THE CITY ATTORNEY**

**** COUNCIL MEMBER PAOLETTO SECONDED**

Council President McCarthy stated and clarified that it is the city council's intention that the amended items should be reflected in the final negotiation and that the language should be appropriate and agreed upon by all parties.

**** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY**

Mayor Finch returned to the main motion:

**** COUNCIL PRESIDENT McCARTHY MOVED TO APPROVE AS AMENDED**

13-13 Contracts Committee Report re: Resolution concerning Ground Lease with United Illuminating Company to facilitate the construction of a Solar Electricity-Generating Facility on the Landfill near Seaside Park and the construction of a Fuel Cell Facility on Adjacent Land.

**** COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ SECONDED**

**** MOTION PASSED WITH FIFTEEN VOTES IN FAVOR AND FIVE VOTES IN OPPOSITION**

****The council members that voted in opposition weren't identified – no roll call vote.***

ADJOURNMENT

**** COUNCIL PRESIDENT McCARTHY MOVED TO ADJOURN
** COUNCIL MEMBER BRANNELLY SECONDED
** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY**

The meeting adjourned at 8:20 pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Diane Graham
Telesco Secretarial Services